| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|----------------------------|--------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 09/763,037 | DUNN ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Cybille Delacroix-Muirheid | 1614 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: | | |
| (1) Cybille Delacroix-Muirheid. | (3) | |
| (2) Mr. Doran Pace. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 25 August 2004 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: n/a | | |
| Claims discussed: claims 1, 4, 5, 11 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: n/a | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant's representative agreed to the Examiner's amendments to the claims. Please refer to the Examiner's amendment submitted herewith. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | , |
| | | |
| | | |
| Cybulem | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | |